PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Translation From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION Cas 0392 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 10.07.2003 PCT/CH2004/000392 25.06.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant HAUTE ECOLE D'ARTS APPLIQUES This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Telephone No. Facsimile No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/CH2004/000392

Box	No. 1	Basis of this opinion
I.	With	h regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was I, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
		, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	Witl inve	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed intion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Ad	ditional comments:
L_		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/CH2004/000392

Box No. V		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
١.	Statement				
	Novelty (N1	Claims	1-7	YES
			Claims		NO NO
	Inventive	step (IS)	Claims	1-7	YES
			Claims		NO NO
	Industria	l applicability (IA)	Claims	1-7	YES
			Claims		NO
l					

- 2. Citations and explanations:
 - 1. Reference is made to the following document in the present notification:
 - D1: WO 97/24028 A (HUSHER INTERNATIONAL INC;
 PAGLERICCIO FERNANDO PAULO (CA); PAGLERI) 10
 July 1997 (1997-07-10).
 - 2. Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant prior art, describes (see page 2, line 21 to page 3, line 6, page 4, lines 13 to 21, figure 1, the references between parentheses apply to this document) a muzzle (10) comprising a fastening element (14) for enclosing the mouth of an animal in order to prevent the opening thereof and means (16) for holding the element on the head.
 - 2.1 The subject matter of independent claim 1 differs in that said element is connected in such a way that it opens elastically as long as it is subjected to a force less than a limit value, and that it is blocked if this force is exceeded. The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/CH2004/000392

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 2.2 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be considered to be that of improving a conventional muzzle which prevents an animal from biting, so as to allow the animal to drink and eat freely. 2.3 The elasticity of the muzzle of D1 allows the animal to open its mouth slightly to pant, but does not allow the animal to eat or drink. None of the documents cited in the search report suggest or bring the person skilled in the art to envision a muzzle which blocks beyond a limit value in relation to the force exerted by the animal to open its mouth. The solution to this problem as proposed in claim 1 of the present invention is considered to involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)). 2.4 Claims 2 to 7 relate to particular embodiments of the muzzle stated in claim 1 and thus also comply, as such, with the requirements of novelty and inventive step of the PCT.